

# Advanced Project Course - Game, App and Web Development

Avancerad projektkurs: Spel-, app- och webbutveckling 6 credits

Programme course

TDDE20

Valid from: 2022 Spring semester

Determined by Main field of study

Board of Studies for Computer Science In

and Media Technology

Information Technology, Computer Science and Engineering, Computer

Science

Date determined Course level Progressive

specialisation

2021-09-01 Second cycle A1F

Revised by Disciplinary domain

Technology

Revision date Subject group

Computer Technology

Offered first time Offered for the last time

Spring semester 2017

Department Replaced by

Institutionen för datavetenskap



## Course offered for

- Master of Science in Computer Science and Engineering
- Master of Science in Information Technology
- Master of Science in Computer Science and Software Engineering

# **Prerequisites**

The course expects the student to have applied project management models in previous courses or other context. The student should also have acquired knowledge equivalent to basic courses in the profile "Game Programming" or similar set of base courses for the webb and app- area covered by the project.

# Intended learning outcomes

The project should have significant technical level that requires in-depth subject knowledge in game, web and/or app development, should be carried out in a professional manner, and should develop and consolidate the participants' skills in the following areas:

- Analyze and structure problems.
- Apply knowledge and methods from a wide range of previous courses.
- Independently acquire new knowledge, as required by the project.
- Integrate knowledge from many disciplines and apply them in new contexts.
- Formulate a requirement specification for the project based on a project directive and thereby assess the feasibility of the project in terms of technical solutions and available resources.
- Present the project results for the client as well as for other students, which can not be presumed to be specialists in the techniques used.
- Actively contribute to a well functioning project group.
- Demonstrate the ability to lead the project work with the support of a project model, and with limited access to tutoring resources.
- Plan, implement and monitor a project.

The result of the project word should:

- Attain high technical quality and be based on modern knowledge and practices in the relevant field of technology.
- Be documented in relevant project documents and relevant technical documentation.
- Be presented orally.
- Meet the requirements stated in the specification.



## Course content

Description of the projects, with project directives, are available on the course website. The projects will be closely linked to either ongoing research within the field of computer science or to companies active in this field. In the course the students will for example develop a small game, app or webb application or a part of a larger such applications - given open, high-level requirements and where a large part of the work is related to exploring non-functional goals such as design, interaction, feedback, motivation, fun, learning, user experience, brand effect, and so forth. This requires experimentation in search of design alternatives and incremental user testing. Students should expect to build several version of parts of the application of which only one is included in the final result. The requirements and the stake holders will change for year to year.

# Teaching and working methods

The project, which is formed according to directive given later, should consist of at least six students. Each group will be assigned a tutor, who will support the group in its work and answer technical questions. For each project, there is a client with whom the project team negotiates a specification. Before project work begins, the project team should create appropriate project management documents for the project.

For each instance of the course, the examiner will present a set of project proposals. Assignment of projects to student groups is based both on their aptitude and their wishes. For each proposal there is a project charter forming the basis for further work. The project begins with the project team developing a requirements specification and relevant project management documentation for their project. The projects should be conducted according to an appropriate development model, selected by the team.

The course runs over the entire autumn semester.



## Examination

PRA1 Project 6 credits U, G

The project work will be assessed on the achievement of course objectives. Three modules, each assessed with pass/fail, are included in the assessment. These topics are:

- Technical level and quality of project results
- Written documentation in the form of technical report and relevant project documents
- Oral presentation

To pass the whole project work, the student is required to pass all parts and meet the objectives of the course. Special emphasis is given to participants actively contributing to the group working according to the project model's intentions. Grades are given as \*Fail' or 'Pass'.

## Grades

Two grade scale, older version, U, G



# Other information

## About teaching and examination language

The teaching language is presented in the Overview tab for each course. The examination language relates to the teaching language as follows:

- If teaching language is "Swedish", the course as a whole could be given in Swedish, or partly in English. Examination language is Swedish, but parts of the examination can be in English.
- If teaching language is "English", the course as a whole is taught in English. Examination language is English.
- If teaching language is "Swedish/English", the course as a whole will be taught in English if students without prior knowledge of the Swedish language participate. Examination language is Swedish or English depending on teaching language.

#### Other

The course is conducted in a manner where both men's and women's experience and knowledge are made visible and developed.

The planning and implementation of a course should correspond to the course syllabus. The course evaluation should therefore be conducted with the course syllabus as a starting point.

If special circumstances prevail, the vice-chancellor may in a special decision specify the preconditions for temporary deviations from this course syllabus, and delegate the right to take such decisions.



## **Common rules**

## Course syllabus

A syllabus must be established for each course. The syllabus specifies the aim and contents of the course, and the prior knowledge that a student must have in order to be able to benefit from the course.

#### **Timetabling**

Courses are timetabled after a decision has been made for this course concerning its assignment to a timetable module.

#### Interruption in and deregistration from a course

The LiU decision, Guidelines concerning confirmation of participation in education (Dnr LiU-2020-02256), states that interruptions in study are to be recorded in Ladok. Thus, all students who do not participate in a course for which they have registered must record the interruption, such that the registration on the course can be removed. Deregistration from or interrupting a course is carried out using a web-based form Forms

#### Cancelled courses and changes to the course syllabus

Courses with few participants (fewer than 10) may be cancelled or organised in a manner that differs from that stated in the course syllabus. The Dean is to deliberate and decide whether a course is to be cancelled or changed from the course syllabus.

#### Guidelines relating to examinations and examiners

For details, see Guidelines for education and examination for first-cycle and second-cycle education at Linköping University, Dnr LiU-2020-04501 (http://styrdokument.liu.se/Regelsamling/VisaBeslut/917592).

An examiner must be employed as a teacher at LiU according to the LiU Regulations for Appointments, Dnr LiU-2021-01204 (https://styrdokument.liu.se/Regelsamling/VisaBeslut/622784). For courses in second-cycle, the following teachers can be appointed as examiner: Professor (including Adjunct and Visiting Professor), Associate Professor (including Adjunct), Senior Lecturer (including Adjunct and Visiting Senior Lecturer), Research Fellow, or Postdoc. For courses in first-cycle, Assistant Lecturer (including Adjunct and Visiting Assistant Lecturer) can also be appointed as examiner in addition to those listed for second-cycle courses. In exceptional cases, a Part-time Lecturer can also be appointed as an examiner at both first- and second cycle, see Delegation of authority for the Board of Faculty of Science and Engineering.



#### Forms of examination

#### **Principles for examination**

Written and oral examinations and digital and computer-based examinations are held at least three times a year: once immediately after the end of the course, once in August, and once (usually) in one of the re-examination periods. Examinations held at other times are to follow a decision of the faculty programme board.

Principles for examination scheduling for courses that follow the study periods:

- courses given in VT1 are examined for the first time in March, with reexamination in June and August
- courses given in VT2 are examined for the first time in May, with reexamination in August and January
- courses given in HT1 are examined for the first time in October, with reexamination in January and August
- courses given in HT2 are examined for the first time in January, with reexamination in March and in August.

The examination schedule is based on the structure of timetable modules, but there may be deviations from this, mainly in the case of courses that are studied and examined for several programmes and in lower grades (i.e. 1 and 2).

Examinations for courses that the faculty programme board has decided are to be held in alternate years are held three times during the school year in which the course is given according to the principles stated above.

Examinations for courses that are cancelled or rescheduled such that they are not given in one or several years are held three times during the year that immediately follows the course, with examination scheduling that corresponds to the scheduling that was in force before the course was cancelled or rescheduled.

When a course, or a written examination (TEN, DIT, DAT), is given for the last time, the regular examination and two re-examinations will be offered. Thereafter, examinations are phased out by offering three examinations during the following academic year at the same times as the examinations in any substitute course. If there is no substitute course, three examinations will be offered during re-examination periods during the following academic year. Other examination times are decided by the faculty programme board. In all cases above, the examination is also offered one more time during the academic year after the following, unless the faculty programme board decides otherwise. In total, 6 re-examinations are offered, of which 2 are regular re-examinations. In the examination registration system, the examinations given for the penultimate time and the last time are denoted.

If a course is given during several periods of the year (for programmes, or on different occasions for different programmes) the faculty programme board or boards determine together the scheduling and frequency of re-examination occasions.



#### Retakes of other forms of examination

Regulations concerning retakes of other forms of examination than written examinations and digital and computer-based examinations are given in the LiU guidelines for examinations and examiners, <a href="http://styrdokument.liu.se/Regelsamling/VisaBeslut/917592">http://styrdokument.liu.se/Regelsamling/VisaBeslut/917592</a>.

#### Course closure

For Decision on Routines for Administration of the Discontinuation of Educational Programs, Freestanding Courses and Courses in Programs, see DNR LiU-2021-04782. After a decision on closure and after the end of the discontinuation period, the students are referred to a replacement course (or similar) according to information in the course syllabus or programme syllabus. If a student has passed some part/parts of a closed program course but not all, and there is an at least partially replacing course, an assessment of crediting can be made. Any crediting of course components is made by the examiner.

#### **Registration for examination**

In order to take an written, digital or computer-based examination, registration in advance is mandatory, see decision in the university's rule book <a href="https://styrdokument.liu.se/Regelsamling/VisaBeslut/622682">https://styrdokument.liu.se/Regelsamling/VisaBeslut/622682</a>. An unregistered student can thus not be offered a place. The registration is done at the Student Portal or in the LiU-app during the registration period. The registration period opens 30 days before the date of the examination and closes 10 days before the date of the examination. Candidates are informed of the location of the examination by email, four days in advance.

#### Code of conduct for students during examinations

Details are given in a decision in the university's rule book: http://styrdokument.liu.se/Regelsamling/VisaBeslut/622682.

### Retakes for higher grade

Students at the Institute of Technology at LiU have the right to retake written examinations and digital and computer-based examinations in an attempt to achieve a higher grade. This is valid for all examination components with code "TEN", "DIT" and "DAT". The same right may not be exercised for other examination components, unless otherwise specified in the course syllabus.

A retake is not possible on courses that are included in an issued degree diploma.

#### **Grades**

The grades that are preferably to be used are Fail (U), Pass (3), Pass not without distinction (4) and Pass with distinction (5).

- Grades U, 3, 4, 5 are to be awarded for courses that have written or digital examinations.
- Grades Fail (U) and Pass (G) may be awarded for courses with a large degree of practical components such as laboratory work, project work and



group work.

• Grades Fail (U) and Pass (G) are to be used for degree projects and other independent work.

#### **Examination components**

The following examination components and associated module codes are used at the Faculty of Science and Engineering:

- Grades U, 3, 4, 5 are to be awarded for written examinations (TEN) and digital examinations (DIT).
- Examination components for which the grades Fail (U) and Pass (G) may be awarded are laboratory work (LAB), project work (PRA), preparatory written examination (KTR), digital preparatory written examination (DIK), oral examination (MUN), computer-based examination (DAT), home assignment (HEM), and assignment (UPG).
- Students receive grades either Fail (U) or Pass (G) for other examination components in which the examination criteria are satisfied principally through active attendance such as tutorial group (BAS) or examination item (MOM).
- Grades Fail (U) and Pass (G) are to be used for the examination components Opposition (OPPO) and Attendance at thesis presentation (AUSK) (i.e. part of the degree project).

In general, the following applies:

- Mandatory course components must be scored and given a module code.
- Examination components that are not scored, cannot be mandatory. Hence, it is voluntary to participate in these examinations, and the voluntariness must be clearly stated. Additionally, if there are any associated conditions to the examination component, these must be clearly stated as well.
- For courses with more than one examination component with grades U,3,4,5, it shall be clearly stated how the final grade is weighted.

For mandatory components, the following applies (in accordance with the LiU Guidelines for education and examination for first-cycle and second-cycle education at Linköping University,

http://styrdokument.liu.se/Regelsamling/VisaBeslut/917592):

• If special circumstances prevail, and if it is possible with consideration of the nature of the compulsory component, the examiner may decide to replace the compulsory component with another equivalent component.

For possibilities to alternative forms of examinations, the following applies (in accordance with the LiU Guidelines for education and examination for first-cycle and second-cycle education at Linköping University, <a href="http://styrdokument.liu.se/Regelsamling/VisaBeslut/917592">http://styrdokument.liu.se/Regelsamling/VisaBeslut/917592</a>):

• If the LiU coordinator for students with disabilities has granted a student the right to an adapted examination for a written examination in an examination hall, the student has the right to it.



- 11 (11)
- If the coordinator has recommended for the student an adapted examination or alternative form of examination, the examiner may grant this if the examiner assesses that it is possible, based on consideration of the course objectives.
- An examiner may also decide that an adapted examination or alternative form of examination if the examiner assessed that special circumstances prevail, and the examiner assesses that it is possible while maintaing the objectives of the course.

#### Reporting of examination results

The examination results for a student are reported at the relevant department.

#### **Plagiarism**

For examinations that involve the writing of reports, in cases in which it can be assumed that the student has had access to other sources (such as during project work, writing essays, etc.), the material submitted must be prepared in accordance with principles for acceptable practice when referring to sources (references or quotations for which the source is specified) when the text, images, ideas, data, etc. of other people are used. It is also to be made clear whether the author has reused his or her own text, images, ideas, data, etc. from previous examinations, such as degree projects, project reports, etc. (this is sometimes known as "self-plagiarism").

A failure to specify such sources may be regarded as attempted deception during examination.

#### Attempts to cheat

In the event of a suspected attempt by a student to cheat during an examination, or when study performance is to be assessed as specified in Chapter 10 of the Higher Education Ordinance, the examiner is to report this to the disciplinary board of the university. Possible consequences for the student are suspension from study and a formal warning. More information is available at Cheating, deception and plagiarism

## Regulations (apply to LiU in its entirety)

The university is a government agency whose operations are regulated by legislation and ordinances, which include the Higher Education Act and the Higher Education Ordinance. In addition to legislation and ordinances, operations are subject to several policy documents. The Linköping University rule book collects currently valid decisions of a regulatory nature taken by the university board, the vice-chancellor and faculty/department boards.

LiU's rule book for education at first-cycle and second-cycle levels is available at http://styrdokument.liu.se/Regelsamling/Innehall/Utbildning\_pa\_grund-och\_avancerad\_niva.

